Application No.: 10/600,832

Reply to the Office Action dated: June 15, 2005

**REMARKS** 

Applicants wish to thank Examiner Huang for indicating allowability of Claim 2 if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims. The limitations of Claims 2 have been included in Claim 1. Thgus, the

claims are now allowable over the prior art of record.

The rejection of Claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, is obviated by the

amendment of this claim.

Regarding the rejection of Claims 6 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, it

is noted that even though A or B may be "0" in the core (KA or KB respectively) there are

still required to be present because they are in the shell (SA or SB, respectively). Thus, the

rejection of Claims 6 and 7 should be withdrawn.

This application presents allowable subject matter, and the Examiner is kindly

requested to pass it to issue. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding the claims or

otherwise wish to discuss this case, he is kindly invited to contact Applicants' below-signed

representative, who would be happy to provide any assistance deemed necessary in speeding

this application to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

NFO:KAG:

Registration No.: 47,297

10